

ASP Primate Welfare Award Judging Form

Applicant Name:

Title of Presentation:

Session, day, time:

Judge:

Presentation type:

Scoring:

1. The study is well-designed.

Disagree	Neither Agree nor Disagree	Agree
1	2	3

2. The data have been appropriately evaluated.

Disagree	Neither Agree nor Disagree	Agree
1	2	3

3. This study has a large (positive) impact on welfare or on primate care.

Disagree	Neither Agree nor Disagree	Agree
1	2	3

4. This study increases our understanding of animal welfare.

No	Yes
1	3

5. This information is applicable to other environments or species.

No	Yes
1	3

6. This work is innovative.

No	Yes
1	3

7. **The presentation (poster or oral) clearly states objectives of the research/project (e.g. includes hypothesis, research methods, data).**

Disagree	Neither Agree nor Disagree	Agree
1	2	3

8. **Images/graphs/figures/tables are well-constructed, used effectively, and easy to read.**

Disagree	Neither Agree nor Disagree	Agree
1	2	3

9. **The presenter is able to field questions and demonstrate a knowledge of the topic.**

Disagree	Neither Agree nor Disagree	Agree
1	2	3

10. **The application summary was well thought out and clearly demonstrates improvement to animal welfare.**

Disagree	Neither Agree nor Disagree	Agree
1	2	3

Total score (highest possible score 30): _____